

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

ANTONIO WILLIAMS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 4:16-CV-298-SPM
)	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,)	
Acting Commissioner of Social Security)	
)	
)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This case is before the Court on Acting Commissioner Carolyn W. Colvin's ("the Commissioner's") Motion to Reverse and Remand the case to the Commissioner for further administrative action pursuant to sentence four of section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (Doc. 19). Plaintiff has filed a response stating that he has no objection to the motion. (Doc. 20). The parties have consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1). (Doc. 9).

On March 4, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Complaint seeking review of the Commissioner's decision that Plaintiff was not under a disability within the meaning of the Social Security Act. (Doc. 1). The Commissioner filed her answer and the transcript of the administrative proceedings on May 16, 2016. (Docs. 12 & 13). Plaintiff filed a brief in support of the complaint on June 13, 2016. (Doc. 14).

On September 2, 2016, the Commissioner filed the instant motion to reverse and remand the case to the Commissioner for further action under sentence four of section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, which permits the Court "to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner, with or without

remanding the cause for a rehearing.” 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The Commissioner represents in her motion that upon review of the record, the Commissioner determined that the case should be remanded for further consideration so that the Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) can reconsider his step-five finding that Plaintiff could perform various jobs that existed in significant numbers in the national economy. Specifically, the Commissioner states that the ALJ should present to the vocational expert a hypothetical question that reflects the limitations contained in the ALJ’s residual functional capacity finding.

Upon review of Plaintiff’s brief in support of his complaint, the ALJ’s decision, and the Commissioner’s motion, the Court agrees with the parties that this case should be reversed and remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Commissioner’s Motion to Reverse and Remand (Doc. 19) is **GRANTED**.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is **REVERSED** and that this case is **REMANDED** under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for reconsideration and further proceedings consistent with this opinion.



SHIRLEY PADMORE MENSAH
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated this 6th day of September, 2016.